SUMMARY OF COMMENTS ON SA-118, “Orientation Meetings for New Agreement States”

I. Sent to the Agreement States for Comment: July 24, 2009 (FSME-09-060)

Comments/Dated:  Organization of Agreement States (OAS) – Letter dated 09/15/09
                 State of Washington- 07/18/09 (e-mail received)
                 State of Louisiana- 08/12/09 (e-mail received)
                 Private citizen- 07/28/09 (e-mail received)

Organization of Agreement States (OAS)

   No comments.

State of Washington

Comment 1:
On page 4, Section IV. B. 5 – line 4-5 – need to insert “the” before RCPD

Response:
We agree with this comment.  The procedure will be revised accordingly.

Comment 2:
On Page 7, Section V.B.3.a.i) – need to correct “raining” to “training”.

Response:
We agree with this comment.  The procedure will be revised accordingly.

State of Louisiana

Comment 1:
Line 4. Should read: ……..including follow-up and closure information………

Response:
We agree with this comment.  The procedure will be revised accordingly.

Comment 2:
Also on page 5, (d) ………steps being taken to work off that backlog.
Page 4 (V.B.3.a.i) …..Number of staff in the program and status of their training.

Response:
We agree with this comment.  The procedure will be revised accordingly.

Private citizen

Comment 1:
In the introduction section the objectives are all changed to start with “To” but the rest of the
document uses verbs to start.  I see no reason to make this change unless it is to be consistent with
the Introduction section format of other SA series documents.  It appears to be a style preference.
The professional writing guidance for such changes back and forth. The last one I had said to use
the verb form to start.
Response:
We appreciate the comment. However, in keeping with other FSME procedures and the agency editorial style, the procedure will not be changed.

Comment 2:
In the “Orientation”… document section V.F.2.d near the end of the document, should the “suspension” option be added because it was just proposed in the draft we reviewed last week (I think that was SA-122.)?

Response:
We appreciate the comment, however the list was not meant to be all-inclusive

II. Sent to the NRC Offices for Comment: July 24, 2009

Comments/Dated: Region I –08/21/09 (e-mail)
Region III –09/16/09 (e-mail)
Region IV – 08/13/09 (e-mail)
OGC – 08/21/09 (email mark-up)
DILR/FSME –09/02/09 (email)
MSSA/FSME staff member – 02/18/2010

Region I:
No comments

Region III:

Comment 1:
The Region made a number of editorial comments.

Response:
We agree with the comments. The procedure will be revised accordingly.

Comment 2:
This section refers to the SDMP program, which no longer exists.

Response:
We agree with this comment. The procedure will be revised accordingly.

Comment 3:
A State does not “create” the financial assurance documents but instead should control the documents submitted to the State by licensees.

Response:
We agree with this comment. The procedure will be revised accordingly.

Comment 4:
Section V.B.4. “and” not “an”

Response:
We agree with this comment. The procedure will be revised accordingly.
Region IV

No comments.

Office of the General Counsel (OGC):

Comment 1:
Section IV. C.4. Is this consistent with B.13. above?

Response:
We appreciate the comment. However, C.3. refers to the Orientation Meeting held with the Agreement State, while C.4. refers to the Management Review Board Meeting in which the results of the Orientation meeting are discussed. We do not believe that additional clarification is needed.

Comment 2:
The Office made a number of editorial comments.

Response:
We agree with the comments. The procedure will be revised accordingly.

DILR, FSME

Comment 1:
Page 5, Number 4 should read “Event Reporting, including follow-up and closure information in NMED.

Response:
We agree with this comment. The procedure will be revised accordingly.

MSSA/FSME staff member

Comment 1:
Section IV, A. 1 and 2, as written is only partially true. These activities as pertaining to the orientation meetings should be the responsibility of the RSAO and/or the FSME designee, not the PM. The orientation meeting schedule is not shared with the States.

Response:
We agree with this comment. The procedure will be revised accordingly.

Comment 2:
In Section IV, A.5, is already addressed in Item 2 of this section and should be the responsibilities of the RSAO and/or the FSME designee and not the PM. The latter part of this item is already addressed in other procedures.

Response:
We agree with this comment. The procedure will be revised accordingly.
Comment 3:
Section IV, B.1 and 2, two points could be combined for efficiency.

Response:
We agree with this comment. The procedure will be revised accordingly.

Comment 4:
Section IV, B.6 should be covered in B.1.

Response:
We agree with this comment. The procedure will be revised accordingly.

Comment 5:
Action items discussed in Section IV, B.11 and Section V, B.9 should be modified to note that these are action items outside the routine exchange of information between the Agreement States and the RSAOs.

Response:
We agree with this comment. The procedure will be revised accordingly.